

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,343	11/14/2003	Claude Basso	RPS920030063US1	9860
45211 Robert A. Voig	7590 11/01/200	EXAMINER		
WINSTEAD S	ECHREST & MINICK	FEARER, MARK D		
	PO BOX 50784 DALLAS, TX 75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			A			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/713,343	BASSO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark D. Fearer	2143			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No	ovember 2003.	•			
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·						
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>2-7,9-14,16 and 17</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
4 a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
•—	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
	aim(s) <u>2-7,9-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.	· ·				
	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Cia	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	Papers					
9)∐ Th€	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Ар	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) L The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/713,343

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 31 May 2007 is acknowledged.
- Claims 2, 9, and 16 have been amended.
- Claims 1, 8, 15, and 18-19 are cancelled.
- Claims 1-3, 8-11, and 16-17 are still pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2143

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-5 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Garnett et al. (US 7032037 B2) in view of McCormick et al. (US 20020083260 A1).

Consider claims 2 and 9. Garnett et al. discloses a method for reducing the number of messages to be processed by a control processor in a load balancer comprising the steps of: receiving a request to establish a TCP connection from a client by a network processor in said load balancer (("The network processing unit 520 further includes packet processing circuitry 522 to classify packets at layer 4 and look up flow details of that packet. If a flow exists, the network processing unit 520 modifies and forwards the packet to continue the flow.") column 39 lines 48-52); establishing said TCP connection with said client via handshake messages between said network processor and said client (("For new TCP flows that are to be application layer load balanced, it performs the TCP three-way handshake and forwards the request data packets to an application layer classification engine 528. The network processing unit 520 also handles handover of a newly established connection to a selected server 43.")

Art Unit: 2143

Application/Control Hamber: 10/7 10,04

column 39 lines 52-58); receiving a request message from said client (("Transport layer load balancing in a TCP/IP network can be performed on receipt of a TCP synchronize/start packet (TCP SYN) from a client to a specific destination.") column 35 lines 9-11); bundling said request message and information from said handshake messages involved in establishing said TCP connection by said network processor (("In HTTP, usually the first data packet contains the request so the first data packet, after the three-way handshake, is parsed to make the load balancing decision. After the load balancing decision has been made, the connection to the load balancer is handed over to the selected server.") column 36 lines 52-56); and transmitting said bundled message to said control processor by said network processor ("When a server responds to a received data packet, the outgoing packet is transmitted from the server 505 to the Load Balancer 501, which forwards the outgoing packet to the outside network. Shown in FIG. 20b is a representation of the data packet paths through a shelf 41 arranged as shown in FIG. 19b. Here, the incoming packet arrives at the switch 73, travels to the load balancer 501 and is then passed to a processing cartridge 43 via the switch 73.") column 33 lines 13-20). Garnett et al. further discloses a system and method capable of identifying a server in a server farm to service a client's request message by a control processor (("Management control of the web farm 360 can be provided through a pair of System Management Servers (SMSs) 362.") column 29 lines 23-24); and transmitting the bundled message comprising the client's request message and the control message to a network processor ("A control microprocessor 530 performs management tasks for the load balancer 501.") column 40 lines 31-32). However, Garnett et al. fails to disclose

_ . . . _

Art Unit: 2143

bundling the client's request message and a control message.by the control processor. McCormick et al. discloses a system comprising messaging processors, separate from the intermediate processors, that facilitate the bundling of messages (("In order to facilitate interaction between the various intermediate processors 230-234 and the line cards included in the switch, a message processor 250 may be included in the multiprocessor control block 110. The message processor 250, which is operably coupled to the plurality of intermediate processors 230-234 and the plurality of line cards included in the switch, supports messaging between the plurality of intermediate processors 230-234 and one or more of the line cards. The message processor 250 may act as a queuing point for messages between the intermediate processors 230-234 and the line cards, where multiple messages are bundled together for distribution to the various line cards in order to improve the efficiency with which such messages are communicated.") paragraph 0035).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a system comprising messaging processors, separate from the intermediate processors, that facilitate the bundling of messages as taught by McCormick et al. with a method for reducing the number of messages to be processed by a control processor in a load balancer as taught by Garnett et al. for the purpose of efficient capacity and high speed communications.

Consider claims 3 and 10, and as applied to claims 2 and 9, respectively. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., discloses a method wherein said server in said server farm is identified using information extracted from said client's request message

Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

(("An information distribution module may be provided removably received in the carrier operable connect to the internal communications network to receive an information message, to perform processing on the message to determine a destination, and to forward the message toward the determined destination via the internal communications network. This arrangement provides a modular computer system with integral load balancing service.") column 1 lines 53-56).

Consider claims 4 and 11, and as applied to claims 2 and 9, respectively. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., discloses a method wherein said control message comprises information used to enable said network processor to create entries in a forwarding table to ensure packets from said client are transmitted to said server and to ensure packets from said server are transmitted to said client (("The control microprocessor 570 also has programming to keep rule tables for the network processing unit 520 and the classification engine 528 up to date.") column 40 lines 37-38).

Consider claims 5 and 12, and as applied to claims 2 and 9, respectively. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., discloses a method wherein said control message comprises information to establish a TCP connection between said load balancer and said server (("The application layer parsing and classification engine 528 receives complete request packets requesting the initiation of a new TCP connection from the network processing unit 520.") column 40 lines 3-6).

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garnett et al. (US 7032037 B2) in view of McCormick et al. (US 20020083260 A1) and in further view of Berg (US 20020116475 A1).

Consider claims 6 and 13, and as applied to claims 2 and 9, respectively. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., discloses a method for receiving a request to terminate a TCP session and facilitating the termination request between server and client. This reads on the claimed "The method as recited in claim 2 further comprising the steps of: receiving a request to terminate said TCP connection from said server by said network processor; facilitating said termination of said connection between said server and said client; ..." (("In the present example, when a flow is finally terminated the load balancer deletes the entry from the primary look-up table which corresponded to that connection. Thus the load balancer can watch for a TCP finish packet (TCP FIN) to be received from the client and a corresponding ACK to be sent from the server. In the case of a triangular load balancing arrangement, a module running on the server may perform the watch for the FIN and ACK packets and notify the load balancer accordingly.") column 36 lines 14-22). However, Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., fails to teach bundling and transmitting information of closed connections. Berg discloses a protocol stack comprising temporary memory tables, to include connection information and a method of transmitting session management packet bundles from a redirector device to a network interface controller. This reads on the claimed "... bundling information regarding a series of closed connections by said network processor; and transmitting said bundled message regarding said series of

Art Unit: 2143

closed connections to said control processor by said network processor." (("If the packet is not a client request (e.g. TCP Flag set to ACK), the iNIC (in response to instructions of its balance thread) sends the packet and a reference to the connection endpoint (stored in the temporary table's matching record) to the protocol stack thread (which is executed by the iNIC's protocol stack processor).") paragraph 0159 ("Each of the n servers and the redirector device includes intelligent network interface controller ("iNIC") circuitry, as shown in FIG. 2a. Within the server farm, each of the n servers and the redirector device (with its respective iNIC) has a respective IP address that is advertised to clients through the IP network. The redirector device and the servers communicate with one another through the iNICs, in order to operate together in a cooperative manner as a distributed system.") paragraph 0064).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate protocol stacks as taught by Berg with TCP termination requests as taught by Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., for the purpose of session management using the well known TCP stack.

Consider claims 7 and 14, and as applied to claims 6 and 13, respectively. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., discloses a method for receiving a request to terminate a TCP session and facilitating the termination request between server and client. This reads on the claimed "... information from said bundled message regarding said series of closed connections by said control processor." (("In the present example, when a flow is finally terminated the load balancer deletes the entry from the primary look-up table which corresponded to that connection. Thus the load balancer

Art Unit: 2143

can watch for a TCP finish packet (TCP FIN) to be received from the client and a corresponding ACK to be sent from the server. In the case of a triangular load balancing arrangement, a module running on the server may perform the watch for the FIN and ACK packets and notify the load balancer accordingly.") column 36 lines 14-22). However, Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., fails to teach a method of extracting bundled information of closed connections. Berg discloses a method wherein packet information is extracted and exchanged between a client and a server. This reads on the claimed "The method as recited in claim 6 further comprising the step of: extracting information from said bundled message regarding said series of closed connections by said control processor." (("Accordingly, in such a situation, server 2's iNIC (in response to instructions of its ipOS): (a) in response to such information received from server 1's iNIC establishes a connection endpoint in the memory of server 2's iNIC for the particular client-server socket-based application connection; (b) if appropriate for the packet, processes and sends information from the packet to server 2's application layer; and (c) if appropriate for the packet, processes and sends response packets to the client through the IP network in response to information from server 2's application layer. The protocol stack processor of server 2's iNIC (in response to instructions of its ipOS) adds suitable header information to the response packet and sends it to the client through the IP network-connected port (IP 123.123.123.3) of server 2's iNIC.") paragraph 0102).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate packet processing as taught by Berg with TCP termination requests as

Art Unit: 2143

taught by Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., for the purpose of releasing closed TCP connections faster, and providing more resources for new connections.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garnett et al. (US 7032037 B2) in view of McCormick et al. (US 20020083260 A1) and in further view of Basso et al. (US 6973503 B2).

Consider claim 16. Garnett et al. discloses a load balancer (("The present invention relates to computer systems, in particular to load balancing systems for multiprocessor systems, for example multi-processor server systems.") column 1 lines 10-12) comprising a network processor capable of responding to a computer program with circuitry for receiving a request to establish a TCP connection from a client by a network processor in said load balancer ("The network processing unit 520 further includes packet processing circuitry 522 to classify packets at layer 4 and look up flow details of that packet. If a flow exists, the network processing unit 520 modifies and forwards the packet to continue the flow.") column 39 lines 48-52); establishing said TCP connection with said client via handshake messages between said network processor and said client (("For new TCP flows that are to be application layer load balanced, it performs the TCP three-way handshake and forwards the request data packets to an application layer classification engine 528. The network processing unit 520 also handles handover of a newly established connection to a selected server 43.") column 39 lines 52-58); receiving a request message from said client (("Transport layer load balancing in a TCP/IP network can be performed on receipt of a TCP synchronize/start packet (TCP SYN) from a client to a specific destination.") column 35 lines 9-11); bundling said

Art Unit: 2143

on/Control Number: 10// 15,54

request message and information from said handshake messages involved in establishing said TCP connection by said network processor (("In HTTP, usually the first data packet contains the request so the first data packet, after the three-way handshake, is parsed to make the load balancing decision. After the load balancing decision has been made, the connection to the load balancer is handed over to the selected server.") column 36 lines 52-56); and transmitting said bundled message to said control processor by said network processor ("When a server responds to a received data packet, the outgoing packet is transmitted from the server 505 to the Load Balancer 501, which forwards the outgoing packet to the outside network. Shown in FIG. 20b is a representation of the data packet paths through a shelf 41 arranged as shown in FIG. 19b. Here, the incoming packet arrives at the switch 73, travels to the load balancer 501 and is then passed to a processing cartridge 43 via the switch 73.") column 33 lines 13-20). This reads on the claimed "A load balancer, comprising: a network processor, wherein said network processor is configured to process fast path packets; a control processor coupled to said network processor, wherein said control processor is configured to process slow path packets; and a memory unit coupled to said control processor and said network processor, wherein said memory unit is operable for storing a computer program for reducing the number of messages to be processed by said control processor; wherein said network processor, responsive to said computer program, comprises: circuitry operable for receiving a request to establish a TCP connection from a client; circuitry operable for establishing said TCP connection with said client via handshake messages between said network processor

. 04.40

Art Unit: 2143

and said client; circuitry operable for receiving a request message from said client; circuitry operable for bundling said request message and information from said handshake messages involved in establishing said TCP connection; and circuitry operable for transmitting said bundled message to said control processor.". Garnett et al. further discloses a system capable of identifying a server in a server farm to service a client's request message by a control processor (("Management control of the web farm 360 can be provided through a pair of System Management Servers (SMSs) 362.") column 29 lines 23-24); and transmitting the bundled message comprising the client's request message and the control message to a network processor ("A control microprocessor 530 performs management tasks for the load balancer 501.") column 40 lines 31-32). However, Garnett et al. fails to disclose bundling the client's request message and a control message by the control processor. McCormick et al. discloses a system comprising messaging processors, separate from the intermediate processors, that facilitate the bundling of messages (("In order to facilitate interaction between the various intermediate processors 230-234 and the line cards included in the switch, a message processor 250 may be included in the multiprocessor control block 110. The message processor 250, which is operably coupled to the plurality of intermediate processors 230-234 and the plurality of line cards included in the switch, supports messaging between the plurality of intermediate processors 230-234 and one or more of the line cards. The message processor 250 may act as a queuing point for messages between the intermediate processors 230-234 and the line cards, where multiple messages are bundled together for distribution to the various line cards in order to

Art Unit: 2143

improve the efficiency with which such messages are communicated.") paragraph 0035). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a system comprising messaging processors, separate from the intermediate processors, that facilitate the bundling of messages as taught by McCormick et al. with a method for reducing the number of messages to be processed by a control processor in a load balancer as taught by Garnett et al. for the purpose of efficient capacity and high speed communications. However, Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., fails to teach a system comprising fast path and slow path packet processing. Basso et al. discloses a system wherein a network processor processes fast packets and a control processor processes slow packets ((Network processor 411 may be configured to process packets that are commonly referred to as "fast path packets.") column 5 lines 58-60 ("... control processor 410 may be configured to process packets that are commonly referred to as "slow path packets" which require more complicated operations than fast path packets. Slow path packets may refer to packets that are redirected from network processor 411 to control processor 410 to be processed by control processor ...") column 5 line 67 and column 6 lines 1-5), and a memory unit coupled to said control processor and said network processor, wherein said memory unit is operable for storing a computer program for reducing the number of messages to be processed by said control processor (("Implementations of the invention include implementations as a computer system programmed to execute the method or methods described herein, and as a computer program product. According to the computer system implementations, sets of

Art Unit: 2143

The Control Hamber: 1077 10,0

instructions for executing the method or methods are resident in the random access memory 414 of one or more computer systems configured generally as described ...") column 6 lines 60-67). This reads on the claimed "... a network processor, wherein said network processor is configured to process fast path packets; a control processor coupled to said network processor, wherein said control processor is configured to process slow path packets; and a memory unit coupled to said control processor and said network processor, wherein said memory unit is operable for storing a computer program for reducing the number of messages to be processed by said control processor; ...".

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate fast path and slow path packet processing as taught by Basso et al. with bundling messages in TCP connections as taught by Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al., for the purpose of efficiently processing packets in a well known TCP protocol connection.

Consider claim 17, and as applied to claim 16 above. Garnett et al., as modified by McCormick et al. and Basso et al. discloses a method wherein said control message comprises information used to enable said network processor to create entries in a forwarding table to ensure packets from said client are transmitted to said server and to ensure packets from said server are transmitted to said client (("The control microprocessor 570 also has programming to keep rule tables for the network processing unit 520 and the classification engine 528 up to date.") Garnett et al., column 40 lines 37-38).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 31, 2007 with respect to claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Mark Fearer whose telephone number is (571) 270-1770. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for

Page 16

Application/Control Number: 10/713,343

Art Unit: 2143

21.42

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 571-272-4100.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Mark Fearer M.D.F./mdf October 22, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100